# Unsupervised neural and Bayesian models for zero-resource speech processing

MIT CSAIL, 15 Nov. 2016

Herman Kamper University of Edinburgh; TTI at Chicago http://www.kamperh.com

TECHNICA Q BZOI ICH SCIENCE POLICY CARS GAMING & CULTURE TECHNOLOGY LAB – Microsoft releases open source toolkit used to build human-level speech recognition Microsoft wants to put machine learning everywhere.

PETER BRIGHT - 10/25/2016, 6:55 PM





PETER BRIGHT - 10/25/2016, 6:55 PM

May 28, 2015 12:54 pm ET



[Xiong et al., arXiv'16]

• Google Voice: English, Spanish, German, ..., Zulu (~50 languages)



[Xiong et al., arXiv'16]

- Google Voice: English, Spanish, German, ..., Zulu (~50 languages)
- Data: 2000 hours of labelled speech audio; ~350M words of text



[Xiong et al., arXiv'16]

- Google Voice: English, Spanish, German, ..., Zulu (~50 languages)
- Data: 2000 hours of labelled speech audio;  $\sim$ 350M words of text
- But: Can we do this for all 7000 languages spoken in the world?

### Unsupervised speech processing

Developing unsupervised methods that can learn structure directly from raw speech audio, i.e. zero-resource technology

### Unsupervised speech processing

Developing unsupervised methods that can learn structure directly from raw speech audio, i.e. zero-resource technology

Criticism: Always some data; semi-supervised problem

### Unsupervised speech processing

Developing unsupervised methods that can learn structure directly from raw speech audio, i.e. zero-resource technology

Criticism: Always some data; semi-supervised problem

### Reasons for purely unsupervised case:

- Modelling infant language acquisition
  [Räsänen, SpecCom'12]
- Language acquisition in robotics [Renkens and Van hamme, IS'15]
- Analysis of audio for unwritten languages [Besacier et al., SpecCom'14]
- New insights and models for speech processing [Jansen et al., ICASSP'13]







1. Unsupervised frame-level representation learning:



2. Unsupervised segmentation and clustering: How do we discover meaningful units in unlabelled speech?

<sup>[</sup>Park and Glass, TASLP'08]

<sup>[</sup>Park and Glass, TASLP'08]



<sup>[</sup>Park and Glass, TASLP'08]



<sup>[</sup>Park and Glass, TASLP'08]

### Full-coverage segmentation and clustering

### Full-coverage segmentation and clustering



### Full-coverage segmentation and clustering



1. Unsupervised frame-level representation learning:



 Unsupervised segmentation and clustering: We focus on full-coverage segmentation and clustering

1. Unsupervised frame-level representation learning:



 Unsupervised segmentation and clustering: We focus on full-coverage segmentation and clustering

**Our claim:** Unsupervised speech processing benefits from both top-down and bottom-up modelling

### Top-down and bottom-up modelling

**Top-down:** Use knowledge of higher-level units to learn about lower-level parts

**Bottom-up:** Piece together lower-level parts to get more complex higher-level structures



[Feldman et al., CCSS'09]

### Unsupervised frame-level representation learning: The Correspondence Autoencoder

### Unsupervised frame-level representation learning: The Correspondence Autoencoder



Micha Elsner



Daniel Renshaw



Aren Jansen



Sharon Goldwater

### Supervised representation learning using DNN

Output: predict phone states



. . .



Input: speech frame(s) e.g. MFCCs, filterbanks

### Supervised representation learning using DNN



### Supervised representation learning using DNN

Output: predict phone states





Input: speech frame(s) e.g. MFCCs, filterbanks Phone classifier learned jointly

### Unsupervised modelling:

No phone class targets to train network on

Feature extractor  $f_a(\cdot)$  learned from data

### Autoencoder (AE) neural network

Reconstruct input





Input speech frame

[Badino et al., ICASSP'14]

### Autoencoder (AE) neural network

Reconstruct input





Input speech frame

• Completely unsupervised

- But purely bottom-up
- Can we use top-down information?

[Badino et al., ICASSP'14]

### Autoencoder (AE) neural network

Reconstruct input





Input speech frame

[Badino et al., ICASSP'14]

- Completely unsupervised
- But purely bottom-up
- Can we use top-down information?
- Idea: Unsupervised term discovery





### Weak top-down supervision: Align frames

![](_page_35_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_2.jpeg)

[Jansen et al., ICASSP'13]
#### Weak top-down supervision: Align frames



[Jansen et al., ICASSP'13]

#### Weak top-down supervision: Align frames



[Jansen et al., ICASSP'13]

### Autoencoder (AE)

Reconstruct input





Frame from other word in pair





Frame from other word in pair



Unsupervised feature extractor  $f_a(\cdot)$ 

Frame from other word in pair



Combine **top-down** and **bottom-up** information



Unsupervised feature extractor  $f_a(\cdot)$ 



[Kamper et al., ICASSP'15]

#### Intrinsic evaluation: Isolated word query task



#### Intrinsic evaluation: Isolated word query task



Extended: [Renshaw et al., IS'15] and [Yuan et al., IS'16]

# Unsupervised segmentation and clustering: The Segmental Bayesian Model

# Unsupervised segmentation and clustering: The Segmental Bayesian Model



Aren Jansen



Sharon Goldwater

### Full-coverage segmentation and clustering



### Full-coverage segmentation and clustering



### Segmental modelling for full-coverage segmentation

**Previous models** use explicit subword discovery directly on speech features, e.g. [Lee et al., 2015]:



### Segmental modelling for full-coverage segmentation

**Previous models** use explicit subword discovery directly on speech features, e.g. [Lee et al., 2015]:



**Our approach** uses whole-word segmental representations, i.e. acoustic word embeddings [Kamper et al., IS'15; Kamper et al., TASLP'16]

#### Acoustic word embeddings

#### Acoustic word embeddings



#### Acoustic word embeddings



Dynamic programming alignment has quadratic complexity, while embedding comparison is linear time. Can use standard clustering.

Speech waveform













### Acoustic word embeddings: Downsampling



- Simple embedding approach also used in other studies
  e.g. [Abdel-Hamid et al., 2013]
- Consider both MFCCs and cAE features as frame-level function  $f_a(\cdot)$
- cAE combines top-down learned feature representations with segmentation and clustering

#### **Evaluation**



### **Evaluation**



#### Metrics:

- Unsupervised word error rate (WER)
- Word token precision, recall, F-score: parsing quality
- Word type precision, recall, F-score: cluster quality
- Word boundary precision, recall, F-score: parsing quality

#### Small-vocabulary segmentation and clustering

#### Small-vocabulary segmentation and clustering



Discrete HMM: [Walter et al., ASRU'13]. BayesSeg: [Kamper et al., TASLP'16].

### Small-vocabulary segmentation and clustering



[Kamper et al., TASLP'16]

### Large-vocabulary: English



ZRSBaselineUTD: [Versteegh et al., IS'15]. UTDGraphCC: [Lyzinski et al., IS'15]. SyllableSegOsc<sup>+</sup>: [Räsänen et al., IS'15]. BayesSeg: [Kamper et al., arXiv'16].

#### Large-vocabulary: Xitsonga



ZRSBaselineUTD: [Versteegh et al., IS'15]. UTDGraphCC: [Lyzinski et al., IS'15]. SyllableSegOsc<sup>+</sup>: [Räsänen et al., IS'15]. BayesSeg: [Kamper et al., arXiv'16].

## The true (less rosy) picture



Embeddings close to the above (non-word segments)



Embedding dimensions

#### **Bottom-up constraints**

• Minimum and maximum duration constraints

#### **Bottom-up constraints**

- Minimum and maximum duration constraints
- Use unsupervised syllable boundary detection:



[Räsänen et al., IS'15]
#### **Bottom-up constraints**



### **Bottom-up constraints**



**bottom-up** constraints

### Effect of using cAE features

	English (%)			Xitsonga (%)		
Embeds.	Cluster	Speaker	Gender	Cluster	Speaker	Gender
MFCC	29.9	55.9	87.6	24.5	43.1	87.1
cAE	30.0	35.7	73.8	33.1	29.3	76.6

# **Summary and Conclusions**

### Conclusions

#### Unsupervised speech processing benefits from both top-down and bottom-up modelling

### Conclusions

Unsupervised speech processing benefits from both top-down and bottom-up modelling

- **Correspondence autoencoder:** Use top-down constraints with bottom-up initialization to improve frame-level representations
- Segmental Bayesian model: Top-down segmentation taking bottom-up constraints into account
- English and Xitsonga: Large-vocabulary multi-speaker data
- cAE in BayesSeg: Improves cluster, speaker and gender purity

# Extending this work

- Improve cAE using UTD and vice versa (with Sameer Bansal)
- Improve unsupervised acoustic word embeddings [Chung et al., IS'16]
- Simplify BayesSeg so that it can be applied to larger corpora
- Frame-based vs. segmental unsupervised models
- Evaluation: What do we want to discover?

• Building audio analysis tools for field linguists

- Building audio analysis tools for field linguists
- Using weak labels, e.g. translations [Bansal et al., arXiv'16] (with Sameer Bansal, Adam Lopez, Sharon Goldwater)

- Building audio analysis tools for field linguists
- Using weak labels, e.g. translations [Bansal et al., arXiv'16] (with Sameer Bansal, Adam Lopez, Sharon Goldwater)
- Language acquisition in humans and robots



- Building audio analysis tools for field linguists
- Using weak labels, e.g. translations [Bansal et al., arXiv'16] (with Sameer Bansal, Adam Lopez, Sharon Goldwater)
- Language acquisition in humans and robots
- Extending models to multiple modalities (with Shane Settle, Karen Livescu, Greg Shakhnarovich)



Code: https://github.com/kamperh

#### **References** I

- O. Abdel-Hamid, L. Deng, D. Yu, and H. Jiang, "Deep segmental neural networks for speech recognition," in *Proc. Interspeech*, 2013.
- L. Badino, C. Canevari, L. Fadiga, and G. Metta, "An auto-encoder based approach to unsupervised learning of subword units," in *Proc. ICASSP*, 2014.
- S. Bansal, H. Kamper, S. J. Goldwater, and A. Lopez, "Weakly supervised spoken term discovery using cross-lingual side information," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1609.06530*, 2016.
- L. Besacier, E. Barnard, A. Karpov, and T. Schultz, "Automatic speech recognition for under-resourced languages: A survey," Speech Commun., vol. 56, pp. 85–100, 2014.
- Y.-A. Chung, C.-C. Wu, C.-H. Shen, and H.-Y. Lee, "Unsupervised learning of audio segment representations using sequence-to-sequence recurrent neural networks," *Proc. Interspeech*, 2016.
- N. H. Feldman, T. L. Griffiths, and J. L. Morgan, "Learning phonetic categories by learning a lexicon," in *Proc. CCSS*, 2009.
- A. Jansen, S. Thomas, and H. Hermansky, "Weak top-down constraints for unsupervised acoustic model training," in *Proc. ICASSP*, 2013.
- A. Jansen *et al.*, "A summary of the 2012 JHU CLSP workshop on zero resource speech technologies and models of early language acquisition," in *Proc. ICASSP*, 2013.

### **References II**

- H. Kamper, M. Elsner, A. Jansen, and S. J. Goldwater, "Unsupervised neural network based feature extraction using weak top-down constraints," in *Proc. ICASSP*, 2015.
- H. Kamper, A. Jansen, and S. J. Goldwater, "Unsupervised word segmentation and lexicon discovery using acoustic word embeddings," *IEEE/ACM Trans. Audio, Speech, Language Process.*, vol. 24, no. 4, pp. 669–679, 2016.
- H. Kamper, S. J. Goldwater, and A. Jansen, "Fully unsupervised small-vocabulary speech recognition using a segmental Bayesian model," in *Proc. Interspeech*, 2015.
- H. Kamper, A. Jansen, and S. J. Goldwater, "A segmental framework for fully-unsupervised large-vocabulary speech recognition," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1606.06950*, 2016.
- C.-y. Lee, T. O'Donnell, and J. R. Glass, "Unsupervised lexicon discovery from acoustic input," *Trans. ACL*, vol. 3, pp. 389–403, 2015.
- K. Levin, K. Henry, A. Jansen, and K. Livescu, "Fixed-dimensional acoustic embeddings of variable-length segments in low-resource settings," in *Proc. ASRU*, 2013.
- V. Lyzinski, G. Sell, and A. Jansen, "An evaluation of graph clustering methods for unsupervised term discovery," in *Proc. Interspeech*, 2015.
- A. S. Park and J. R. Glass, "Unsupervised pattern discovery in speech," *IEEE Trans. Audio, Speech, Language Process.*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 186–197, 2008.

### References III

- O. J. Räsänen, "Computational modeling of phonetic and lexical learning in early language acquisition: Existing models and future directions," *Speech Commun.*, vol. 54, pp. 975–997, 2012.
- O. J. Räsänen, G. Doyle, and M. C. Frank, "Unsupervised word discovery from speech using automatic segmentation into syllable-like units," in *Proc. Interspeech*, 2015.
- V. Renkens and H. Van hamme, "Mutually exclusive grounding for weakly supervised non-negative matrix factorisation," in *Proc. Interspeech*, 2015.
- D. Renshaw, H. Kamper, A. Jansen, and S. J. Goldwater, "A comparison of neural network methods for unsupervised representation learning on the Zero Resource Speech Challenge," in *Proc. Interspeech*, 2015.
- M. Versteegh, R. Thiollière, T. Schatz, X. N. Cao, X. Anguera, A. Jansen, and E. Dupoux, "The Zero Resource Speech Challenge 2015," in *Proc. Interspeech*, 2015.
- O. Walter, T. Korthals, R. Haeb-Umbach, and B. Raj, "A hierarchical system for word discovery exploiting DTW-based initialization," in *Proc. ASRU*, 2013.
- W. Xiong, J. Droppo, X. Huang, F. Seide, M. Seltzer, A. Stolcke, D. Yu, and G. Zweig, "Achieving human parity in conversational speech recognition," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1610.05256*, 2016.

### **References IV**

• Y. Yuan, C.-C. Leung, L. Xie, B. Ma, and H. Li, "Learning neural network representations using cross-lingual bottleneck features with word-pair information," in *Proc. Interspeech*, 2016.