

ASR-free CNN-DTW keyword spotting using multilingual bottleneck features for almost zero-resource languages

Raghav Menon, Stellenbosch University, South Africa Herman Kamper, Stellenbosch University, South Africa Emre Yilmaz, Radbound University & National University of Singapore John Quinn, UN Global Pulse, Kampala, Uganda Thomas Niesler, Stellenbosch University, South Africa

August 2018



- ► Social media has become popular for voicing social concerns and views.
- Not true when internet accessibility is poor
- United Nations (UN) survey shows that in Uganda phone-in talk shows are the medium of choice outside metropolitan areas.
- Radio browsing system have been actively supporting UN relief and development programmes by monitoring this medium.
- However these systems are highly dependent on transcribed speech in the target language.
- Radio browsing systems for Acholi and Luganda using approximately 9 hours of data was developed and it took many months to obtain the data.
- We describe a keyword spotting system which relies on only a small number of isolated repetitions of keywords and a large body of untranscribed data.

Radio browsing system









- In-domain data: 40 keywords, each spoken twice by 24 South African speakers (12 male, 12 females).
- **Untranscribed data:** 23-hour South African Broadcast News (SABN) corpus.
 - Mix of English newsreader speech, interviews and crossings to reporters broadcast between 1996 and 2006.

	Utterances	Speech (h)
Train	5231	7.94
Dev	2988	5.37
Test	5226	10.33
Total	13445	23.64

Keyword spotting approaches



- Dynamic time warping (DTW)
 - ▶ Good in low resource setting but prohibitively slow as it requires repeated alignment
 - ▶ Isolated words are slid one at a time over the search audio with a 3 frame skip.
 - Normalized per frame cosine cost.
 - Presence or absence of keyword determined using appropriate threshold.
- Convolutional neural network (CNN) classifier
 - The CNN was trained as a end-to-end classifier with each keyword example.
 - ▶ CNN consists of 3 convolutional layers with max pooling followed by 3 dense layers.
 - Input size restricted to 60 frames.
 - Presence or absence of keyword based on appropriate threshold.

DTW and CNN are baselines.

Keyword spotting approaches



- CNN-DTW keyword spotting
 - CNN-DTW keyword spotting approach uses DTW to generate training data for CNN.
 - Scores calculated between the small set of isolated keywords and a much larger untranscribed dataset which are subsequently used as targets to train a CNN.



MFCC, bottleneck and autoencoder features considered.

Bottleneck and Autoencoder features



- ► Large annotated speech resources exist for well-resourced languages.
- We investigate whether these resources can be used to improve the performance of our CNN-DTW.
- Bottleneck features
 - <u>2-language TDNN</u>: A 11-layer 2-language TDNN trained using the FAME and CGN corpora comprising of approximately 887 hrs of Flemish and Dutch data.
 - 10-language TDNN: A 6-layer 10-language TDNN was trained on Globalphone corpus containing 198 hrs of training data.
- Autoencoder features
 - ► An autoencoder is a neural network used to reconstruct its input.
 - Can be trained when large amounts of unlabelled data available.
 - Like the BNFs, autoencoders can be trained on different languages.
 - ▶ We obtain a 7-layer stacked denoising autoencoder by training each layer individually.
 - Languages used were Acholi (160 hrs), Luganda (154 hrs), Lugbara (9.45 hrs), Rutaroo (7.82 hrs) and Somali (18 hrs).



- ► Three baseline systems are considered
 - DTW-QbyE where DTW is performed for each exemplar keyword on each utterance and the resulting scores averaged.
 - <u>DTW-KS</u> best score over all exemplars of a keyword type is used.
 - <u>CNN</u> An end-to-end CNN classifier trained only on the isolated keywords.
- ► CNN-DTW is supervised by the DTW-KS system.
- SABN transcriptions not used for training or validation, but were used to access accuracy.
- ▶ Hyper-parameters optimized by minimizing the target loss on the development set.
- ▶ Performance is reported in terms of AUC and EER.

Experimental Results

- We consider four feature extractors:
 - Stacked Autoencoder.
 - the 2-language TDNN without speaker normalisation.
 - ▶ the 10-language TDNN without speaker normalisation.
 - ▶ the 10-language TDNN with speaker normalisation.

Model	dev			
Woder	AUC	EER		
MFCC	0.7556	0.3092		
SAE	0.5247	0.4844		
TDNN-BNF-2lang	0.7273	0.3356		
TDNN-BNF-10lang	0.7725	0.2884		
TDNN-BNF-10lang-SPN	0.7781	0.2872		



	AUC				EER			
Model	dev		test		dev		test	
	MFCC	BNF	MFCC	BNF	MFCC	BNF	MFCC	BNF
CNN	0.5698	0.5298	0.5448	0.5364	0.4435	0.4813	0.4771	0.4725
DTW-QbyE	0.6639	0.6899	0.6612	0.6873	0.3864	0.3556	0.3885	0.3661
DTW-KS	0.7556	0.7781	0.7515	0.7699	0.3092	0.2872	0.3162	0.3012
CNN-DTW	0.6360	0.7537	0.6285	0.7422	0.4073	0.3058	0.4161	0.3214
CNN-DTW-GNL	0.6443	0.7535	0.6357	0.7518	0.4036	0.3091	0.4092	0.3153

Experimental results









- We investigated the use of multilingual bottleneck (BNF) and autoencoder features in a CNN-DTW keyword spotter.
- The autoencoder features and BNFs trained on two languages did not improve performance over MFCCs, but BNFs trained on a corpus of 10 languages lead to substantial improvements.
- We conclude that our CNN-DTW approach, which combines the low-resource advantages of DTW with the speed advantages of CNN, benefits from incorporating labelled data from other well-resourced languages through the use of BNFs.